Tennessee Consolidated Retirement System Experience Study

JULY 1, 2016 - JUNE 30, 2020





USI Consulting Group 5301 Virginia Way Suite 400 Brentwood, TN 37027 www.usicg.com Tel: 615.665.1640

Justin Thacker (629)-895-7858 Justin.Thacker@usi.com

November 2, 2022

The Honorable David H. Lillard, Jr., Chairman Board of Trustees Tennessee Consolidated Retirement System Nashville, Tennessee 37219

Dear Mr. Lillard:

Submitted herewith are the results of an experience study of the Tennessee Consolidated Retirement System prepared for the four year period ending June 30, 2020, pursuant to the provisions of TCA Section 8-34-503(b). Also included are recommendations with respect to the actuarial assumptions of the plan for use with valuations occurring after this study date.

We trust that this report will be helpful in formulation of policy with respect to the operation and financing of the System. We very much appreciate the opportunity to serve the Board of Trustees, and will be pleased to supplement this report in any way, as you request.

The staff of the Tennessee Consolidated Retirement System has been extremely helpful and cooperative in developing the information required for this study. Their cooperation has been greatly appreciated, and is hereby acknowledged.

The study summarized in this report has been performed utilizing generally accepted actuarial principles and, where applicable, applying actuarial standards of practice. The undersigned is an actuary at USI Consulting Group, is a member of the American Academy of Actuaries, and has met the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinions herein.

Respectfully submitted,

Justin C. Thacker, F.S.A.

Timothy C. Lavender, F.S.A.

Enclosures

Contents

Summary of Report	1
Introduction	1
Effect of Actuarial Assumptions on Plan Costs	3
Demographic Assumptions	4
General Approach	4
Post-Retirement Mortality	8
Pre-Retirement Mortality	19
Disability	24
Turnover	29
Spread of Retirement Ages	40
Summary of Demographic Assumptions	46
Economic Assumptions	47
Inflation	47
Cost of Living Adjustment for TCRS Retirees	48
Interest Rates	49
Salary Scale	52
Social Security Increases	55
Summary of Economic Assumptions	55
Other Groups	56

Summary of Report

Introduction

"At least once in each six (6) year period, the actuary shall make an actuarial investigation into the mortality, service and compensation experience of the members and beneficiaries of the retirement system, and taking into account the results of such investigation, the board of trustees shall adopt for the retirement system such mortality, service, and other tables as shall be deemed necessary."

Tennessee Code Annotated, Section 8-34-503(b)

Since the Tennessee Consolidated Retirement System was established effective July 1, 1972, an actuarial experience study has been conducted periodically in accordance with the statute cited above. Each study has covered a four year reporting period, in compliance with the statutory requirement prior to amendment in 1992 to permit a six year rather than four year span. The current study examines the four year period ending June 30, 2020.

The initial four year period ended June 30, 1976. The experience study performed as of that date reached some definite conclusions and identified other probable trends. However, there were shortcomings to the data collected for the study because the records on which the study drew had been established, necessarily, to support the ongoing administration of the System. Steps were taken at that time to begin accumulating more elaborate information so that studies performed as of June 30, 1980 and later produced more comprehensive results. The data collection process continues to be refined to take advantage of the additional processing power made available by advances in technology. Information that was not previously available is now gathered allowing more elaborate analysis of results.

TCA 8-34-503(b) provides that the periodic actuarial investigation shall cover the "mortality, service and compensation experience" of the System. Within this framework, the various factors relating to the System's experience can be categorized, as follows:

- A. Demographic Assumptions
 - 1. Post-retirement Mortality
 - 2. Pre-retirement Mortality
 - 3. Rates of Disability
 - 4. Turnover (i.e., withdrawal from the System)
 - 5. Spreads of Retirement Age
- B. Economic Assumptions
 - 1. Rate of Investment Return
 - 2. Changes in Compensation for Continuing Employees
 - 3. Increases in Social Security Taxable Wage Base
 - 4. Cost of Living Adjustments

Each of the factors is discussed separately in the following sections of this report.

Summary of Report (Continued)

As input for the study, census data was obtained for the fiscal years beginning July 1, 2016, 2017, 2018, and 2019. For each year, the employee population established as of the beginning of the fiscal year was traced through the end of the fiscal year. For these years, records had been provided by TCRS indicating whether each individual was still a member of the System as of the end of the fiscal year or, if he was no longer a member, the cause of his withdrawal (retirement, disability, death, etc.).

Salaries were reported for each employee who was an active participant as of the beginning of each fiscal year. For each individual who remained an active employee as of the end of a fiscal year, salaries were compared to full year salaries reported in the previous fiscal year in order to determine compensation increase rates.

In studying each "decrement" (that is, each reason for which individuals could have withdrawn from the System), a comparison of "actual" to "expected" terminations was made. The number of "actual" withdrawals for each cause was tabulated from the records maintained by the System. The "expected" terminations for each cause were determined by applying the rates of decrement recommended with the 2016 experience study to the exposure (that is, the number of individuals active as of the beginning of each fiscal year). By comparing the ratio of actual terminations to expected terminations for each cause, the validity of the actuarial tables was tested.

Results for the four separate years included in the review period have been combined in order to increase the sample size and smooth out random variations.

Each of the studies investigated several groups separately, because it was felt that they might have significantly different experience. The groups were defined as follows:

- 1. Teachers
- 2. General State Employees
- 3. Employees of Political Subdivisions
- 4. "Group II" Members (Firemen, Police, Wildlife Officers, and Highway Patrol)
- 5. "Group III" Members (County officials and Public Service Commissioners)
- 6. UT-TIAA with Guarantees
- 7. Local Teachers in Closed Systems
- 8. "Aged" Teachers and State Employees (retired lives only)

In practice, "Group II" and "Group III" were small, closed groups which were not large enough to generate credible experience, and "UT-TIAA", local teachers, and "aged" retirees exhibited experience quite similar to the larger group of teachers. Therefore, primary attention was paid to the first three groups—Teachers, general State employees and employees of Political Subdivisions. The results shown for Teachers include not only contributory ("K-12") teachers, but UT-TIAA members and local teachers, as well as "aged" retirees. "Non-Contributory" teachers (higher education) are included with general State employees, since they are combined with them for purposes of determining contribution rates.

Summary of Report (Continued)

Each of the sections in the Demographic Assumptions portion of the report deals with a particular rate of decrement or other assumption, in the order previously listed. For each assumption, the "ratios of actual to expected" based on the current tables are illustrated and discussed. A recommendation is then made concerning each assumption. The Board may choose to adopt the recommendations for use with valuations occurring after this study date and with any actuarial calculations required prior to subsequent changes in the assumptions.

Effect of Actuarial Assumptions on Plan Costs

It is important to realize that actuarial assumptions do not determine the ultimate cost of a pension plan. Actual experience (benefits paid plus the expenses of plan operation, less interest earned on plan assets) ultimately determines the amount which the plan sponsor must contribute. What the actuarial assumptions do, in combination with the actuarial funding method, is determine the <u>incidence</u> of the plan's ultimate cost over a period of years --- how much the plan's sponsor must contribute to the plan each year.

For example, if a very "conservative" set of assumptions is used as the basis for a valuation (low interest earnings, high salary increases, low turnover, low mortality rates), the initial cost of the plan will be high, but the required contribution rates will in all likelihood decrease gradually in later years. If, on the other hand, a plan starts out using a very "liberal" or "optimistic" set of assumptions (high interest earnings, low salary increases, high turnover, high mortality rates), the initial cost of the plan may be quite low, but plan costs will gradually increase in future years.

For most employers, a fairly level plan contribution as a percentage of covered payroll is a desirable goal. Therefore, plan sponsors usually try to choose assumptions that they feel are generally reasonable. In the absence of unusual events, a reasonable set of actuarial assumptions can be expected to develop a reasonably level series of annual contributions.

The purpose of the experience study is to review the existing set of actuarial assumptions and identify any trends in participant behavior or economic situations that are deemed to be long-term in nature. Any changes to the assumptions would be expected to have an impact on the future level of required contributions to the plan.

Demographic Assumptions

General Approach

The portion of the study concerning active participants was based on the active life data associated with fiscal years beginning in 2016 through 2019. For each plan year, a record was established for each person who was an active participant in the plan as of the beginning of the year. Those records were tracked through the end of each fiscal year to determine the employment status at that time. A similar process was used to develop records concerning mortality among retired lives to determine whether the participant was still living at the end of the respective fiscal years.

These records served as the basis for the experience study. For most of the actuarial assumptions, the study took the form of determining ratios of "actual" results to the "expected" results obtained by applying the current tables to the participating lives. The table on the next page shows an example of the way in which "actual" terminations were compared to "expected" terminations and a ratio of "actual to expected" was obtained.

In order to obtain this table, each record was treated as a "unit of exposure" -- that is, the participant with which it is associated entered the year and was "exposed" to the contingency being measured. The member either terminated participation for this reason during the year or did not. In either event, it counted as a unit of exposure, so the exposure figure for the proper age and sex was increased by one. If the person actually terminated participation for this reason, the "actual" column was also increased by one for that age and sex; if the person was still employed at the end of the year, or if he terminated for some other reason, no entry was made to the "actual" column. An "expected" figure was calculated by multiplying the one unit of exposure by the probability of termination included in the turnover table currently being utilized in the valuation. For example, if for a particular age and sex the current table assumes that 15% of the participants will terminate employment during the next year, a factor of .15 was added to the "expected" column for the appropriate age and sex.

After all of the records were processed through the program, ratios of actual to expected were calculated. For example, over the four years of observation, 6,788 males between the ages of 31 and 35 began a year of employment. Of those 6,788 people, 571 terminated their employment before the end of the year. On the other hand, the turnover table currently being utilized assumes that approximately 6.82% of males in this age bracket will terminate their employment, so the "expected" figure was 463. Thus, actual terminations in this category were greater than the "expected" terminations --- the ratio of actual to expected was 123.41%. These results can be seen in the example table on the following page.

General State
Ultimate Withdrawal
Unweighted

		Exposure	Actual	Expected	Act/Exp
MALE	16-20	1	0	0	n/a
	21-25	1,060	172	165	104.20
	26-30	5,117	552	576	95.83
	31-35	6,788	571	463	123.41
	36-40	8,436	533	292	182.45
	41-45	8,884	425	176	241.84
	46-50	10,529	463	209	221.23
	51-55	9,232	388	218	177.79
	56-60	3,668	174	127	136.83
	61-65	192	14	7	193.77
	66-70	51	6	0	n/a
	71-75	19	4	0	n/a
	TOTAL	53,977	3,302	2,234	147.82
<u>FEMALE</u>	16-20	2	2	0	n/a
	21-25	823	136	126	107.86
	26-30	6,547	751	777	96.62
	31-35	9,482	834	736	113.28
	36-40	11,808	724	525	138.03
	41-45	13,710	626	356	175.71
	46-50	14,936	665	330	201.51
	51-55	14,434	673	423	159.05
	56-60	5,850	297	226	131.18
	61-65	142	21	7	299.77
	66-70	38	3	0	n/a
	71-75	8	0	0	n/a
	TOTAL	77,780	4,732	3,507	134.92
TOTAL	16-20	3	2	1	367.72
	21-25	1,883	308	291	105.79
	26-30	11,664	1,303	1,353	96.28
	31-35	16,270	1,405	1,199	117.19
	36-40	20,244	1,257	817	153.92
	41-45	22,594	1,051	532	197.56
	46-50	25,465	1,128	539	209.16
	51-55	23,666	1,061	641	165.42
	56-60	9,518	471	354	133.21
	61-65	334	35	14	245.95
	66-70	89	9	0	n/a
	71-75	27	4	0	n/a
	TOTAL	131,757	8,034	5,741	139.94

This example provides a simplified illustration of the methodology used in succeeding sections of the report. Actual comparisons made herein are conducted on the basis described above but have been modified by "weighting" in order to enhance the effectiveness of the results. The weighting process gives recognition to the fact that some participants, due to associated larger liabilities, have a greater impact on valuation results than others. For instance, a senior official who has completed a significant number of years of service and receives a high salary will have a substantially larger actuarial liability than a short service lower paid employee of the same age. The impact on the plan of service retirement of the senior official is a more significant event than retirement of the lower paid employee of the same age. Therefore, the "number" of participants used to develop exposure, actual and expected numbers has been weighted by multiplying the number by the actuarial liability for that participant. Actuarial assumptions recommended with the 2016 experience study are used in determining liability weightings. For instance, an employee with a liability of \$10,000 for whom the probability of termination was 10% would result in exposure and expected amounts for a particular year of 1 and 0.10 respectively on an unweighted basis and 10,000 and 1,000 respectively on a weighted basis. If the participant died during the year, the "actual" weighted entry for the year would be 10,000.

The charts presented herein have been developed recognizing liability weightings. Weighted results have been reduced proportionately to maintain the displayed exposure amounts within a reasonable range.

The table on the following page shows the results of the earlier table after data for participants have been weighted by liability amount. After weighting, the actual to expected ratio for the group of Consolidated State male employees between 31 and 35 years of age is reduced from 123.41% to 92.49%. The reduction suggests that higher paid employees with relatively more seniority are less inclined to terminate employment than lower paid employees with shorter periods of service. This result is expected and is further confirmed by comparing the overall actual to expected ratio between the two tables. The overall ratio declines from 139.94% to 114.82%. The process of correlating rates of termination, death, etc. to liability weightings ensures that actuarial assumptions are developed in the same manner they are applied. Both the development of the rates in the experience study and their application in the valuation process are with respect to liability amounts.

General State Ultimate Withdrawal Weighted

		Exposure	Actual	Expected	Act/Exp
MALE	16-20	7	0	1	0.00
	21-25	10,912	1,569	1,679	93.49
	26-30	111,062	9,807	12,166	80.62
	31-35	287,303	17,594	19,023	92.49
	36-40	586,733	24,637	19,836	124.20
	41-45	888,407	26,224	17,447	150.30
	46-50	1,335,996	33,425	26,645	125.45
	51-55	1,149,191	33,469	27,093	123.53
	56-60	412,618	14,408	14,262	101.03
	61-65	10,763	208	435	47.77
	66-70	1,603	94	0	n/a
	71-75	177	25	0	n/a
	TOTAL	4,794,771	161,461	138,586	116.51
<u>FEMALE</u>	16-20	10	10	2	545.88
	21-25	7,659	1,131	1,166	96.94
	26-30	127,785	12,271	14,806	82.88
	31-35	372,605	26,522	28,203	94.04
	36-40	786,288	34,293	34,209	100.25
	41-45	1,227,217	39,230	31,682	123.82
	46-50	1,580,908	46,401	34,964	132.71
	51-55	1,620,936	58,018	47,536	122.05
	56-60	651,399	29,544	25,136	117.53
	61-65	5,893	449	302	148.73
	66-70	590	100	0	n/a
	71-75	43	0	0	n/a
	TOTAL	6,381,333	247,968	218,007	113.74
TOTAL	16-20	16	10	3	328.65
	21-25	18,571	2,700	2,845	94.91
	26-30	238,847	22,079	26,972	81.86
	31-35	659,908	44,116	47,226	93.42
	36-40	1,373,021	58,931	54,046	109.04
	41-45	2,115,624	65,454	49,130	133.23
	46-50	2,916,904	79,826	61,609	129.57
	51-55	2,770,127	91,486	74,628	122.59
	56-60	1,064,018	43,952	39,398	111.56
	61-65	16,656	656	737	89.13
	66-70	2,192	194	0	n/a
	71-75	220	25	0	n/a
	TOTAL	11,176,104	409,429	356,593	114.82

In each of the following sections, the appropriateness of the current assumptions is discussed, and tables are included which compare actual results during the past four years to the "expected" results obtained by applying the current tables to the exposure. A recommendation is then made, and a second group of tables illustrates the relationship between "actual" and "expected" based on any proposed new tables.

Post-Retirement Mortality

Pension costs are quite sensitive to changes in post-retirement mortality assumptions. Therefore, it is important that mortality tables used in the actuarial valuation adequately reflect post-retirement mortality experience. Mortality rates have been studied based on two major groups of employees, a) the Teachers group consisting of Teachers and Group III members and b) the group consisting of State employees, Political Subdivision employees and Group II members.

In this context, "conservative" tables are tables with low assumed rates of mortality—it is assumed that retirees will continue to live for comparatively long periods of time. Translated into ratios of actual to expected deaths among retirees, a mortality table is "conservative" if ratios of actual to expected are above 100%. If ratios are below 100%, fewer retirees are dying than expected. Since they are living longer than expected, they will receive more benefits from the plan than expected, so more money will have to go into the fund than has been anticipated.

The 1976, 1980, and 1984 studies all showed that retirees, especially teachers, were living longer than expected—that is, the post-retirement mortality tables then in use were not sufficiently conservative. Differences between actual and expected deaths were so great that some question remained as to whether the improvements in mortality were permanent or were due partially to statistical fluctuations. Therefore, the Board adopted an "intermediate" approach. About one-half of the apparent improvement in mortality was recognized in the new tables adopted after the 1980 study, with the understanding that further action could be taken if subsequent studies indicated permanent and/or continuing improvement. In 1984, the full continued improvement in mortality was recognized by adoption of the 1983 Group Annuity Mortality Tables as the basis for expected deaths among teachers and the 1975 Group Annuity Mortality Tables (somewhat less conservative) as the basis for expected deaths among other retirees.

Experience from 1984 to 1988 indicated that the tables adopted as a result of the 1984 study had provided an accurate picture of expected deaths among retirees, and this pattern continued during the period ending in 1992. For the four year period ending in 1996, the ratio of actual to expected mortality declined below 100% among service retirees. As a result of the 1996 study, the male Teachers mortality table was modified to a more conservative basis, while other groups were left unchanged since the ratios were generally above 95%.

Mortality experience in the 2000 study showed continued improvement among both major groups. Overall ratios for both groups declined by almost 4% from 1996 to 2000, with the majority of mortality improvement recognized among males. As a result of the 2000 study, mortality tables were modified for both major groups in order to bring actual to expected ratios back up to 100%.

Experience in the 2004 study showed continued mortality improvement in the group consisting of State employees, Political Subdivision employees and Group II members. The ratio of actual to expected mortality for this group declined from 100% to 91% since the 2000 study, with males experiencing more mortality improvement than females. Experience of the Teachers group (consisting of Teachers and Group III members) resulted in a reasonably conservative ratio of 103%. As a result of the 2004 study, mortality tables were modified for both major groups in order to bring actual to expected ratios back to 100%.

Experience in the 2008 study showed continued mortality improvement among all groups. The ratio of actual to expected mortality for both of the main groups declined from 100% to just below 90% since the 2004 study, with both males and females experiencing consistent mortality improvement during the period. As a result of the 2008 study, mortality tables were modified for both major groups in order to bring actual to expected ratios back to 100%.

Experience in the 2012 study showed modest continued mortality improvement in the group consisting of State employees, Political Subdivision employees and Group II members. The ratio of actual to expected mortality for this group declined from 100% to 96% since the 2008 study, with consistent improvement among males and females. Experience of the Teachers group (consisting of Teachers and Group III members) showed relatively greater improvement with the actual to expected ratio declining from 100% to 87% since the 2008 Study, with males experiencing more mortality improvement than females.

Experience in the 2016 study showed very little change in mortality in the group consisting of State employees, Political Subdivision employees and Group II members. The ratio of actual to expected mortality for this group remained around 100% when compared to the 2012 study, with mortality rates slightly increasing for females (contrary to standard improvement expectations). Experience of the Teachers group (consisting of Teachers and Group III members) showed a significant increase in mortality rates (contrary to standard improvement expectations) with the actual to expected ratio increasing from 100% to 105% since the 2012 study, which was due almost entirely to the female population as the male population showed virtually no change from the 2012 study.

Experience in this study across all groups showed an increase in mortality rates for males and a decrease in mortality rates for females (particularly for the females in the Teachers group). The group consisting of State employees, Political Subdivision employees and Group II members showed a slight overall increase in mortality rates with the actual to expected ratio increasing from 100% to 102% since the 2016 study. Based on the high percentage of females, the Teachers group showed a slight overall decrease in mortality rates with the actual to expected ratio decreasing from 100% to 98% since the 2016 study.

Mortality experience following disability retirements was also investigated. The number of death claims among disabled retirees is not large enough to be fully credible statistically—disability retirees amount to only a small percentage of TCRS retirees. The results of the study indicate that actual mortality among both males and females is higher than expected. Results for disability mortality continue to be very inconsistent between study periods, suggesting further that experience in this area is less than fully credible.

The tables on the next three pages set out actual deaths, expected deaths, and ratios of actual to expected during the last four years. Actual and expected deaths are weighted by liability amounts to improve accuracy. Expected deaths are based on the base tables used in the 2020 valuation (assumptions adopted after the 2016 experience study). The first two pages show results for service retirees and the third for disability retirees.

All Teacher Groups
Post-Retirement Mortality
Old Assumptions

		Exposure	Actual	Expected	Act/Exp
MALE	36-40	32,694	0	16	0.00
	41-45	13,624	377	10	3,731.61
	46-50	16,617	155	26	585.57
	51-55	137,256	497	573	86.80
	56-60	715,621	3,610	3,973	90.86
	61-65	2,284,235	14,510	18,213	79.67
	66-70	4,230,830	52,644	50,979	103.27
	71-75	3,658,796	65,779	71,190	92.40
	76-80	1,932,114	77,022	64,738	118.97
	81-85	1,016,694	62,267	63,382	98.24
	86-90	423,277	53,789	47,447	113.37
	91-95	122,377	23,484	24,980	94.01
	TOTAL	14,584,135	354,133	345,528	102.49
FEMALE	36-40	16,566	0	5	0.00
	41-45	13,012	0	6	0.00
	46-50	25,547	0	30	0.00
	51-55	516,086	2,153	1,359	158.41
	56-60	3,037,820	9,143	11,463	79.76
	61-65	8,973,705	41,115	53,190	77.30
	66-70	12,785,257	97,163	113,242	85.80
	71-75	8,154,630	94,118	114,129	82.47
	76-80	3,939,989	95,522	96,487	99.00
	81-85	1,985,326	92,892	88,762	104.65
	86-90	935,114	80,258	77,047	104.17
	91-95	341,428	65,713	54,144	121.37
	TOTAL	40,724,481	578,077	609,865	94.79
TOTAL	36-40	49,260	0	20	0.00
	41-45	26,636	377	16	2,293.29
	46-50	42,164	155	56	276.32
	51-55	653,343	2,650	1,932	137.18
	56-60	3,753,441	12,752	15,436	82.61
	61-65	11,257,940	55,625	71,403	77.90
	66-70	17,016,087	149,808	164,221	91.22
	71-75	11,813,426	159,897	185,320	86.28
	76-80	5,872,103	172,544	161,225	107.02
	81-85	3,002,020	155,158	152,144	101.98
	86-90	1,358,391	134,047	124,495	107.67
	91-95	463,805	89,197	79,123	112.73
	TOTAL	55,308,616	932,210	955,393	97.57

Consolidated State, Polisubs & Group II

Post-Retirement Mortality

Old Assumptions

		Exposure	Actual	Expected	Act/Exp
MALE	36-40	56,419	0	46	0.00
	41-45	19,976	31	24	130.33
	46-50	45,622	0	123	0.00
	51-55	451,054	3,081	2,590	118.95
	56-60	2,076,757	19,744	16,823	117.37
	61-65	4,613,224	62,509	55,473	112.68
	66-70	6,497,293	115,822	115,933	99.90
	71-75	5,030,822	135,790	136,315	99.62
	76-80	2,825,639	135,335	125,205	108.09
	81-85	1,339,780	104,063	99,765	104.31
	86-90	438,452	59,048	54,005	109.34
	91-95	99,940	22,694	20,391	111.29
	TOTAL	23,494,978	658,117	626,693	105.01
FEMALE	36-40	70,505	32	26	121.64
	41-45	41,426	30	26	111.77
	46-50	87,820	0	134	0.00
	51-55	461,742	3,935	1,718	229.02
	56-60	2,381,349	12,337	13,130	93.96
	61-65	5,708,840	39,906	45,170	88.35
	66-70	7,073,805	75 <i>,</i> 498	82,025	92.04
	71-75	5,129,949	93,409	94,303	99.05
	76-80	2,899,582	87,506	90,251	96.96
	81-85	1,446,403	77,900	77,537	100.47
	86-90	567,184	56,839	52,794	107.66
	91-95	195,885	36,673	31,865	115.09
	TOTAL	26,064,490	484,065	488,981	99.00
TOTAL	36-40	126,924	32	72	44.58
	41-45	61,402	61	50	120.58
	46-50	133,443	0	257	0.00
	51-55	912,797	7,016	4,309	162.85
	56-60	4,458,106	32,081	29,953	107.10
	61-65	10,322,064	102,415	100,643	101.76
	66-70	13,571,098	191,320	197,958	96.65
	71-75	10,160,771	229,199	230,618	99.39
	76-80	5,725,221	222,840	215,456	103.43
	81-85	2,786,183	181,963	177,302	102.63
	86-90	1,005,636	115,887	106,799	108.51
	91-95	295,825	59,366	52,256	113.61
	TOTAL	49,559,468	1,142,181	1,115,674	102.38

All Retirees Post-Disability Mortality Old Assumptions

		Exposure	Actual	Expected	Act/Exp
MALE	36-40	8,945	150	144	103.66
	41-45	22,440	951	447	212.45
	46-50	49,586	1,285	1,201	106.98
	51-55	101,113	3,902	3,052	127.84
	56-60	165,978	5,636	6,123	92.05
	61-65	157,304	7,311	7,053	103.66
	66-70	114,156	6,573	6,463	101.70
	71-75	57,831	4,042	4,266	94.77
	76-80	18,520	2,408	1,782	135.16
	81-85	5,998	811	743	109.14
	86-90	1,136	210	190	110.49
	91-95	3,615	1,027	1,058	97.04
	TOTAL	706,621	34,307	32,524	105.48
<u>FEMALE</u>	36-40	13,573	134	179	74.94
	41-45	36,355	792	553	143.20
	46-50	85 <i>,</i> 452	3,009	1,477	203.73
	51-55	205,758	4,229	4,117	102.74
	56-60	288,181	9,384	6,729	139.45
	61-65	315,209	8,684	8,726	99.52
	66-70	218,645	7,901	7,350	107.51
	71-75	120,805	6,476	5,147	125.83
	76-80	49,139	3,001	2,781	107.91
	81-85	14,870	1,269	1,177	107.83
	86-90	6,918	800	781	102.43
	91-95	1,621	422	271	155.85
	TOTAL	1,356,527	46,104	39,288	117.35
TOTAL	36-40	22,518	284	323	87.77
	41-45	58,795	1,743	1,001	174.16
	46-50	135,038	4,295	2,679	160.34
	51-55	306,871	8,131	7,169	113.43
	56-60	454,159	15,020	12,852	116.87
	61-65	472,513	15,995	15,780	101.37
	66-70	332,801	14,474	13,812	104.79
	71-75	178,636	10,519	9,413	111.75
	76-80	67,659	5,409	4,563	118.55
	81-85	20,868	2,080	1,920	108.33
	86-90	8,054	1,010	971	104.01
	91-95	5,236	1,449	1,329	109.03
	TOTAL	2,063,148	80,410	71,812	111.97

Recommendation for Post-Retirement Mortality for Service Retirees

Results for both of the main groups (State Employees/Political Subdivisions and Teachers) were mixed. The Teachers showed slightly lower rates of mortality and the State showed slightly higher rates of mortality than the assumptions. It would not be unreasonable to maintain the current mortality tables in light of the results, but incorporating the most current industry tables will maintain transparency and comparability to other retirement systems. These changes are described in more detail under the "Base Tables" and "Projected Improvement" paragraphs below.

Base Tables: The current base mortality tables are created by applying a percentage to selected RP-2006 mortality tables. Constructing customized tables based solely on TCRS experience would produce tables that very closely match TCRS experience at most or all ages, but it is quite difficult for an outside observer to quickly see how the TCRS assumptions compare to other retirement systems. In order to promote transparency and improved comparability to other systems, it is recommended that the base mortality tables continue to be created by applying a percentage to one of the standard industry tables. The Pub-2010 mortality tables (with MP-2020 used to project mortality improvement up to year 2018, the midpoint of the study period) have been selected as they represent the most recent mortality study for public pension plans performed by the Society of Actuaries. The experience of the Teachers most closely matches the Pub-2010 Teachers Below Median Income Table. The experience of the State Employees most closely matches the Pub-2010 General Below Median Income Table. For each group, a separate percentage is applied for males and females to best match the TCRS experience as follows:

	Current	Recommended
Teachers	RP-2006 White Collar Male: 111%	Pub-2010 Teacher Below Median Male: 119%
	Female: 98%	Female: 118%
State Employees and Political Subdivisions	RP-2006 Blue Collar Male: 102% Female: 97%	Pub-2010 General Below Median Male: 106% Female: 114%

The tables on the next two pages show the ratios of actual deaths to expected deaths produced by the recommended base tables above. The ratios for males and females in each of the main groups are very close to 100%, which means the recommended assumption is very close to the actual experience.

All Teacher Groups
Post-Retirement Mortality
Recommended Assumptions

		Exposure	Actual	Expected	Act/Exp
MALE	36-40	32,694	0	18	0.00
	41-45	13,624	377	13	2,836.83
	46-50	16,617	155	24	658.25
	51-55	137,256	497	423	117.51
	56-60	715,621	3,610	3,897	92.63
	61-65	2,284,235	14,510	17,383	83.47
	66-70	4,230,830	52,644	48,611	108.30
	71-75	3,658,796	65,779	71,178	92.41
	76-80	1,932,114	77,022	68,315	112.75
	81-85	1,016,694	62,267	67,994	91.58
	86-90	423,277	53 <i>,</i> 789	50,174	107.20
	91-95	122,377	23,484	26,181	89.70
	TOTAL	14,584,135	354,133	354,212	99.98
FEMALE	36-40	16,566	0	6	0.00
	41-45	13,012	0	8	0.00
	46-50	25,547	0	24	0.00
	51-55	516,086	2,153	1,191	180.83
	56-60	3,037,820	9,143	12,078	75.69
	61-65	8,973,705	41,115	43,439	94.65
	66-70	12,785,257	97,163	88,536	109.74
	71-75	8,154,630	94,118	99,749	94.35
	76-80	3,939,989	95,522	95,534	99.99
	81-85	1,985,326	92,892	94,315	98.49
	86-90	935,114	80,258	84,216	95.30
	91-95	341,428	65,713	61,274	107.25
	TOTAL	40,724,481	578,077	580,370	99.60
TOTAL	36-40	49,260	0	25	0.00
	41-45	26,636	377	21	1,761.09
	46-50	42,164	155	47	327.06
	51-55	653,343	2,650	1,614	164.23
	56-60	3,753,441	12,752	15,975	79.83
	61-65	11,257,940	55,625	60,822	91.46
	66-70	17,016,087	149,808	137,147	109.23
	71-75	11,813,426	159,897	170,927	93.55
	76-80	5,872,103	172,544	163,849	105.31
	81-85	3,002,020	155,158	162,309	95.59
	86-90	1,358,391	134,047	134,390	99.74
	91-95	463,805	89,197	87,455	101.99
	TOTAL	55,308,616	932,210	934,581	99.75

Consolidated State, Polisubs and Group II
Post-Retirement Mortality
Recommended Assumptions

		Exposure	Actual	Expected	Act/Exp
<u>MALE</u>	36-40	56,419	0	48	0.00
	41-45	19,976	31	27	114.47
	46-50	45,622	0	170	0.00
	51-55	451,054	3,081	3,987	77.27
	56-60	2,076,757	19,744	23,170	85.22
	61-65	4,613,224	62 <i>,</i> 509	61,355	101.88
	66-70	6,497,293	115,822	115,472	100.30
	71-75	5,030,822	135,790	138,211	98.25
	76-80	2,825,639	135,335	130,107	104.02
	81-85	1,339,780	104,063	106,258	97.93
	86-90	438,452	59,048	57,183	103.26
	91-95	99,940	22,694	20,697	109.65
	TOTAL	23,494,978	658,117	656,684	100.22
<u>FEMALE</u>	36-40	70,505	32	29	112.35
	41-45	41,426	30	29	101.60
	46-50	87,820	0	190	0.00
	51-55	461,742	3,935	2,459	160.03
	56-60	2,381,349	12,337	14,605	84.47
	61-65	5,708,840	39,906	40,584	98.33
	66-70	7,073,805	75,498	71,952	104.93
	71-75	5,129,949	93,409	88,085	106.04
	76-80	2,899,582	87,506	89,373	97.91
	81-85	1,446,403	77,900	80,736	96.49
	86-90	567,184	56,839	58,235	97.60
	91-95	195,885	36,673	36,028	101.79
	TOTAL	26,064,490	484,065	482,305	100.36
<u>TOTAL</u>	36-40	126,924	32	76	42.03
	41-45	61,402	61	56	107.82
	46-50	133,443	0	360	0.00
	51-55	912,797	7,016	6,446	108.84
	56-60	4,458,106	32,081	37,774	84.93
	61-65	10,322,064	102,415	101,939	100.47
	66-70	13,571,098	191,320	187,423	102.08
	71-75	10,160,771	229,199	226,296	101.28
	76-80	5,725,221	222,840	219,480	101.53
	81-85	2,786,183	181,963	186,994	97.31
	86-90	1,005,636	115,887	115,418	100.41
	91-95	295,825	59,366	56,725	104.66
	TOTAL	49,559,468	1,142,181	1,138,989	100.28

Projected Improvement: After selecting the base tables that best match the current TCRS experience, the next step is to determine an assumption for future improvements in mortality. While various prior TCRS studies have seen short-term periods of mortality increasing (the opposite of mortality of improvement), TCRS mortality has shown steady improvement over the long-term. Further, while the continuation of mortality improvements into the future is often debated, the standard expectation across the industry has been to assume generational mortality improvements based on the most recently available scale from the Society of Actuaries (SOA). As of the 2021 valuation date, the most recently available experience study of mortality improvement performed by the SOA is Scale MP-2020, which was published in October 2020. The study for Scale MP-2020 was based on historical Social Security Administration mortality data between 1950 and 2015 along with more recent data from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the U.S. Census Bureau, and the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Based on TCRS mortality historically showing steady improvement over the longterm, the use of the industry standard generational mortality improvement based on the most recently available scale from the SOA is recommended. Plan Experience regarding mortality improvements will continue to be monitored and adjustments to the standard scale may be considered in future studies if appropriate.

Recommendation for Disability Retirees

Base Tables: The current study shows an increase in post-disability mortality rates (more actual than expected deaths), but the experience for disability retirees is less than fully credible. As a result, no change is recommended to the base tables in the post-disability mortality assumption. If experience continues to show more actual than expected deaths, then an adjustment to this assumption may be considered in future studies.

Projected Improvement: Similar to the mortality assumption above for service retirees, the post-disability mortality assumptions are used to project expected deaths many years into the future. While the experience over the past four years is showing more actual deaths than expected by the base tables, mortality improvement in the future may cause the actual deaths to be less than expected by the base tables. For consistency across assumptions, it is recommended that the same projected mortality improvement be used for both the service retirees and the disability retirees. Specifically, generational mortality improvement using the most recently available scale from the Society of Actuaries (which is Scale MP-2020 as of the 2021 valuation date) is recommended.

All Retirees Post-Disability Mortality Recommended Assumptions

		Exposure	Actual	Expected	Act/Exp
MALE	36-40	8,945	150	144	103.66
	41-45	22,440	951	447	212.45
	46-50	49,586	1,285	1,201	106.98
	51-55	101,113	3,902	3,052	127.84
	56-60	165,978	5,636	6,123	92.05
	61-65	157,304	7,311	7,053	103.66
	66-70	114,156	6,573	6,463	101.70
	71-75	57,831	4,042	4,266	94.77
	76-80	18,520	2,408	1,782	135.16
	81-85	5,998	811	743	109.14
	86-90	1,136	210	190	110.49
	91-95	3,615	1,027	1,058	97.04
	TOTAL	706,621	34,307	32,524	105.48
FEMALE	36-40	13,573	134	179	74.94
	41-45	36,355	792	553	143.20
	46-50	85,452	3,009	1,477	203.73
	51-55	205,758	4,229	4,117	102.74
	56-60	288,181	9,384	6,729	139.45
	61-65	315,209	8,684	8,726	99.52
	66-70	218,645	7,901	7,350	107.51
	71-75	120,805	6,476	5,147	125.83
	76-80	49,139	3,001	2,781	107.91
	81-85	14,870	1,269	1,177	107.83
	86-90	6,918	800	781	102.43
	91-95	1,621	422	271	155.85
	TOTAL	1,356,527	46,104	39,288	117.35
TOTAL	36-40	22,518	284	323	87.77
	41-45	58,795	1,743	1,001	174.16
	46-50	135,038	4,295	2,679	160.34
	51-55	306,871	8,131	7,169	113.43
	56-60	454,159	15,020	12,852	116.87
	61-65	472,513	15,995	15,780	101.37
	66-70	332,801	14,474	13,812	104.79
	71-75	178,636	10,519	9,413	111.75
	76-80	67,659	5,409	4,563	118.55
	81-85	20,868	2,080	1,920	108.33
	86-90	8,054	1,010	971	104.01
	91-95	5,236	1,449	1,329	109.03
	TOTAL	2,063,148	80,410	71,812	111.97

Pre-Retirement Mortality

Pension costs are not particularly sensitive to changes in pre-retirement mortality rates, because the mortality rates at active ages are quite low. Nevertheless, it is desirable to utilize rates which reasonably reflect actuarial experience if possible.

The table on the next page shows the results for active Teachers, and the tables on the following pages show results for Consolidated State and Political Subdivision employees. The results likely do not adequately reflect reality, since deaths appear to be understated. The limited amount of pre-retirement deaths also limits the credibility of this experience.

Teachers Pre-Retirement Mortality Old Assumptions

		Exposure	Actual	Expected	Act/Exp
<u>MALE</u>	16-20	16	0	0	n/a
	21-25	14,965	0	9	0.00
	26-30	154,074	33	91	36.60
	31-35	407,274	62	278	22.28
	36-40	800,013	190	611	31.12
	41-45	1,284,555	1,019	1,226	83.11
	46-50	1,644,855	1,024	2,395	42.73
	51-55	1,538,398	2,950	3,676	80.24
	56-60	1,362,203	4,843	5,497	88.09
	61-65	906,132	3,836	6,279	61.09
	66-70	229,839	795	2,616	30.40
	71-75	49,563	315	893	35.31
	TOTAL	8,391,887	15,067	23,572	63.92
<u>FEMALE</u>	16-20	78	0	0	n/a
	21-25	83,794	0	50	0.00
	26-30	660,219	48	388	12.44
	31-35	1,552,999	88	1,059	8.34
	36-40	2,982,699	390	2,277	17.12
	41-45	4,612,248	1,511	4,407	34.28
	46-50	6,010,064	2,383	11,642	20.47
	51-55	5,378,325	4,497	28,098	16.01
	56-60	5,146,080	9,115	37,517	24.30
	61-65	3,124,531	5,214	31,357	16.63
	66-70	812,900	3,028	11,647	25.99
	71-75	121,311	659	2,574	25.61
	TOTAL	30,485,247	26,934	131,016	20.56
TOTAL	16-20	94	0	0	n/a
	21-25	98,760	0	59	0.00
	26-30	814,293	81	478	17.02
	31-35	1,960,273	150	1,337	11.23
	36-40	3,782,712	580	2,888	20.08
	41-45	5,896,803	2,529	5,632	44.90
	46-50	7,654,919	3,407	14,037	24.27
	51-55	6,916,723	7,447	31,774	23.44
	56-60	6,508,283	13,958	43,015	32.45
	61-65	4,030,662	9,050	37,636	24.05
	66-70	1,042,739	3,823	14,264	26.80
	71-75	170,874	975	3,467	28.11
	TOTAL	38,877,134	42,000	154 <i>,</i> 588	27.17

General State Pre-Retirement Mortality Old Assumptions

		Exposure	Actual	Expected	Act/Exp
<u>MALE</u>	16-20	794	0	0	n/a
	21-25	21,024	0	12	0.00
	26-30	129,145	68	76	90.15
	31-35	303,792	100	207	48.34
	36-40	601,030	346	459	75.36
	41-45	900,313	1,403	863	162.70
	46-50	1,439,490	2,981	2,123	140.39
	51-55	1,896,736	4,986	4,586	108.73
	56-60	2,007,437	5,786	8,136	71.11
	61-65	1,631,981	8,597	11,493	74.80
	66-70	806,513	5,292	9,337	56.67
	71-75	238,230	2,293	4,499	50.96
	TOTAL	9,976,484	31,853	41,792	76.22
<u>FEMALE</u>	16-20	285	0	0	n/a
	21-25	19,592	8	12	72.54
	26-30	152,576	12	90	13.64
	31-35	394,323	109	269	40.60
	36-40	805,018	56	615	9.08
	41-45	1,245,498	1,278	1,189	107.46
	46-50	1,706,844	1,337	3,434	38.94
	51-55	2,532,393	920	13,413	6.86
	56-60	3,044,981	4,109	22,231	18.48
	61-65	2,271,015	9,390	23,014	40.80
	66-70	797,746	2,510	11,504	21.82
	71-75	184,216	1,240	4,006	30.94
	TOTAL	13,154,487	20,970	79,776	26.29
TOTAL	16-20	1,078	0	0	n/a
	21-25	40,616	8	24	35.11
	26-30	281,721	81	166	48.71
	31-35	698,115	209	476	43.96
	36-40	1,406,049	402	1,073	37.41
	41-45	2,145,811	2,681	2,052	130.68
	46-50	3,146,334	4,318	5,557	77.71
	51-55	4,429,129	5,906	17,999	32.82
	56-60	5,052,418	9,895	30,368	32.59
	61-65	3,902,996	17,987	34,507	52.13
	66-70	1,604,259	7,802	20,841	37.43
	71-75	422,446	3,532	8,505	41.53
	TOTAL	23,130,971	52,822	121,568	43.45

Political Subdivisions
Pre-Retirement Mortality
Old Assumptions

		Exposure	Actual	Expected	Act/Exp
MALE	16-20	1,799	0	1	0.00
	21-25	49,315	18	29	63.17
	26-30	204,460	62	120	51.85
	31-35	443,463	309	302	102.41
	36-40	743,849	325	567	57.35
	41-45	1,159,870	1,029	1,114	92.38
	46-50	1,744,636	1,311	2,557	51.25
	51-55	2,019,006	4,152	4,849	85.63
	56-60	1,868,370	7,956	7,517	105.84
	61-65	1,293,620	7,351	9,022	81.47
	66-70	402,774	3,481	4,634	75.13
	71-75	151,793	1,601	2,916	54.92
	TOTAL	10,082,954	27,596	33,628	82.06
FEMALE	16-20	494	0	0	n/a
	21-25	17,450	0	10	0.00
	26-30	80,731	15	47	31.64
	31-35	189,860	18	130	13.64
	36-40	427,405	269	327	82.16
	41-45	753,041	462	723	63.88
	46-50	1,283,313	754	2,634	28.60
	51-55	1,765,255	1,937	9,318	20.79
	56-60	2,133,824	4,293	15,571	27.57
	61-65	1,568,904	3,784	15,908	23.79
	66-70	542,917	2,575	7,864	32.74
	71-75	185,577	1,585	4,175	37.95
	TOTAL	8,948,770	15,690	56,707	27.67
TOTAL	16-20	2,293	0	1	0.00
	21-25	66,765	18	39	46.62
	26-30	285,191	77	167	46.13
	31-35	633,323	327	431	75.75
	36-40	1,171,254	594	894	66.41
	41-45	1,912,911	1,490	1,836	81.16
	46-50	3,027,948	2,064	5,191	39.76
	51-55	3,784,260	6,089	14,167	42.98
	56-60	4,002,194	12,250	23,088	53.06
	61-65	2,862,524	11,135	24,930	44.66
	66-70	945,691	6,056	12,497	48.46
	71-75	337,370	3,186	7,091	44.93
	TOTAL	19,031,724	43,286	90,334	47.92

Recommendation for Pre-Retirement Mortality

Base Tables: It is recommended that future expectations of pre-retirement mortality for all groups be based on a standard table. The Pub-2010 mortality tables have been selected as they represent the most recent mortality study for public pension plans performed by the Society of Actuaries. The specific tables are as follows:

	Current	Recommended
Teachers	RP-2006 Employees Pub-2010 Teacher (Total Dataset) (No Income Ad	
State Employees and Political Subdivisions	RP-2006 Employees (Total Dataset)	Pub-2010 General Employee (No Income Adjustment)

While both the current and recommended mortality rates are different than reported experience, the resulting tables are expected to reasonably predict the liabilities the System will incur in the future. Usage of a standard table is prudent given the lack of credibility associated with the reported data (including both data collection challenges and the limited number of pre-retirement deaths).

Projected Improvement: The pre-retirement mortality improvement has a much shorter time horizon than the post-retirement mortality improvement (as the post-mortality improvement will continue for many years beyond the retirement date when the pre-retirement mortality no longer applies). While the shorter time horizon makes the pre-retirement mortality improvement less critical than the post-retirement mortality improvement, it should not be ignored. For consistency across assumptions, it is recommended that the same projected mortality improvement be used for both the post-retirement mortality and the pre-retirement mortality. Specifically, generational mortality improvement using the most recently available scale from the Society of Actuaries (which is Scale MP-2020 as of the 2021 valuation date) is recommended.

Disability

As is the case with pre-retirement mortality rates, the incidence of disability is so low that overall pension costs are not very sensitive to changes in disability rates.

Disability ratios were inconsistent among all groups with Teachers actual to expected ratio coming in close to 39% while the State and Political Subdivisions were 32% and 27% respectively.

Teachers Ordinary Disability Old Assumptions

		Exposure	Actual	Expected	Act/Exp
MALE	16-20	16	0	0	n/a
	21-25	14,965	0	1	0.00
	26-30	154,074	0	13	0.00
	31-35	407,274	30	70	42.48
	36-40	800,013	0	527	0.00
	41-45	1,284,555	423	1,488	28.42
	46-50	1,644,855	674	2,607	25.85
	51-55	1,538,398	1,554	2,674	58.13
	56-60	1,362,203	1,232	1,649	74.70
	61-65	906,132	0	0	n/a
	66-70	229,839	0	0	n/a
	71-75	49,563	0	0	n/a
	TOTAL	8,391,887	3,912	9,029	43.33
FEMALE	16-20	78	0	0	n/a
	21-25	83,794	0	7	0.00
	26-30	660,219	0	55	0.00
	31-35	1,552,999	0	267	0.00
	36-40	2,982,699	0	1,956	0.00
	41-45	4,612,248	1,254	5,354	23.42
	46-50	6,010,064	3,656	9,527	38.37
	51-55	5,378,325	4,256	9,348	45.53
	56-60	5,146,080	3,154	6,185	51.00
	61-65	3,124,531	0	0	n/a
	66-70	812,900	0	0	n/a
	71-75	121,311	0	0	n/a
	TOTAL	30,485,247	12,320	32,697	37.68
TOTAL	16-20	94	0	0	n/a
	21-25	98 <i>,</i> 760	0	8	0.00
	26-30	814,293	0	68	0.00
	31-35	1,960,273	30	337	8.83
	36-40	3,782,712	0	2,483	0.00
	41-45	5,896,803	1,677	6,842	24.50
	46-50	7,654,919	4,330	12,134	35.68
	51-55	6,916,723	5,810	12,021	48.33
	56-60	6,508,283	4,386	7,833	55.99
	61-65	4,030,662	0	0	n/a
	66-70	1,042,739	0	0	n/a
	71-75	170,874	0	0	n/a
	TOTAL	38,877,134	16,232	41,725	38.90

General State
Ordinary Disability
Old Assumptions

		Exposure	Actual	Expected	Act/Exp
MALE	16-20	794	0	1	0.00
	21-25	21,024	0	14	0.00
	26-30	129,145	0	88	0.00
	31-35	303,792	210	283	74.16
	36-40	601,030	293	856	34.27
	41-45	900,313	549	1,752	31.30
	46-50	1,439,490	1,115	3,708	30.06
	51-55	1,896,736	1,896	5,240	36.19
	56-60	2,007,437	2,392	4,114	58.15
	61-65	1,631,981	0	0	n/a
	66-70	806,513	0	0	n/a
	71-75	238,230	0	0	n/a
	TOTAL	9,976,484	6,455	16,056	40.20
<u>FEMALE</u>	16-20	285	0	0	n/a
	21-25	19,592	0	6	0.00
	26-30	152,576	0	48	0.00
	31-35	394,323	34	207	16.64
	36-40	805,018	0	885	0.00
	41-45	1,245,498	438	2,490	17.60
	46-50	1,706,844	1,726	4,999	34.52
	51-55	2,532,393	2,512	9,292	27.03
	56-60	3,044,981	2,478	8,794	28.18
	61-65	2,271,015	0	0	n/a
	66-70	797 <i>,</i> 746	0	0	n/a
	71-75	184,216	0	0	n/a
	TOTAL	13,154,487	7,188	26,721	26.90
TOTAL	16-20	1,078	0	1	0.00
	21-25	40,616	0	19	0.00
	26-30	281,721	0	137	0.00
	31-35	698,115	244	490	49.85
	36-40	1,406,049	293	1,741	16.85
	41-45	2,145,811	987	4,242	23.26
	46-50	3,146,334	2,840	8,707	32.62
	51-55	4,429,129	4,408	14,532	30.33
	56-60	5,052,418	4,870	12,908	37.73
	61-65	3,902,996	0	0	n/a
	66-70	1,604,259	0	0	n/a
	71-75	422,446	0	0	n/a
	TOTAL	23,130,971	13,643	42,777	31.89

Political Subdivisions Ordinary Disability Old Assumptions

		Exposure	Actual	Expected	Act/Exp
MALE	16-20	1,799	0	1	0.00
	21-25	49,315	0	16	0.00
	26-30	204,460	0	66	0.00
	31-35	443,463	51	143	35.58
	36-40	743,849	124	435	28.49
	41-45	1,159,870	317	1,747	18.15
	46-50	1,744,636	444	5,320	8.34
	51-55	2,019,006	3,157	9,272	34.04
	56-60	1,868,370	3,573	7,519	47.52
	61-65	1,293,620	0	0	n/a
	66-70	402,774	0	0	n/a
	71-75	151,793	0	0	n/a_
	TOTAL	10,082,954	7,665	24,519	31.26
FEMALE	16-20	494	0	0	n/a
	21-25	17,450	0	6	0.00
	26-30	80,731	0	26	0.00
	31-35	189,860	0	61	0.00
	36-40	427,405	53	256	20.89
	41-45	753,041	84	1,134	7.44
	46-50	1,283,313	1,061	3,959	26.81
	51-55	1,765,255	1,869	8,138	22.97
	56-60	2,133,824	1,996	8,467	23.58
	61-65	1,568,904	0	0	n/a
	66-70	542,917	0	0	n/a
	71-75	185,577	0	0	n/a
	TOTAL	8,948,770	5,065	22,046	22.97
TOTAL	16-20	2,293	0	1	0.00
	21-25	66,765	0	21	0.00
	26-30	285,191	0	92	0.00
	31-35	633,323	51	204	24.91
	36-40	1,171,254	177	690	25.67
	41-45	1,912,911	402	2,882	13.93
	46-50	3,027,948	1,505	9,279	16.22
	51-55	3,784,260	5,026	17,410	28.87
	56-60	4,002,194	5,569	15,986	34.84
	61-65	2,862,524	0	0	n/a
	66-70	945,691	0	0	n/a
	71-75	337,370	0	0	n/a
	TOTAL	19,031,724	12,730	46,564	27.34

Recommendation for Disability

The economy since 2008 (covering the last three study periods) has experienced a difficult and unusual period of economic recession followed by a strong recovery which likely influenced participant behavior. As such, the disability experience during this period may not be credible for long-term future predictions. In addition, the incidence of disability is so low that overall pension costs are not very sensitive to changes in disability rates. No change is recommended to the current assumption.

Turnover

Nine pages of tables are included to illustrate ratios of actual to expected turnover. A "two-year select and ultimate" approach has been used. That is, separate rates are examined for the first year of participation, the second year of participation, and an aggregate rate (by age and sex) is utilized thereafter. The "ultimate" tables are more important than the "first-year" and "second-year" tables because the "ultimate" tables apply throughout most of an individual's career.

It should be noted that ratios in excess of 100% are "conservative" with respect to turnover. If turnover is higher than expected, fewer employees will remain until retirement, so fewer benefits will be paid. However, turnover tends to fluctuate with the general condition of the economy, so substantial fluctuations should be expected between high-growth periods (jobs are plentiful, and turnover is high) and low-growth periods (options are limited, and turnover is low).

Teachers 1st Year Withdrawal Old Assumptions

		Exposure	Actual	Expected	Act/Exp
MALE	16-20	6	0	1	0.00
	21-25	2,449	417	441	94.61
	26-30	3,074	518	553	93.63
	31-35	1,939	328	349	93.89
	36-40	1,790	363	322	112.55
	41-45	1,582	237	287	82.78
	46-50	1,449	251	276	90.90
	51-55	1,062	227	222	102.12
	56-60	687	195	163	119.10
	61-65	364	96	98	98.22
	66-70	98	25	27	n/a
	71-75	28	0	0	n/a
	TOTAL	14,526	2,657	2,740	96.95
<u>FEMALE</u>	16-20	23	2	4	59.64
	21-25	12,437	1,327	2,239	59.26
	26-30	11,019	1,723	1,984	86.86
	31-35	6,856	1,053	1,234	85.30
	36-40	5,662	826	1,019	81.08
	41-45	5,599	766	1,015	75.47
	46-50	4,392	645	837	77.05
	51-55	2,853	468	600	77.96
	56-60	1,790	241	427	56.47
	61-65	817	205	222	92.36
	66-70	141	57	40	n/a
	71-75	44	30_	4	850.47
	TOTAL	51,634	7,344	9,624	76.30
TOTAL	16-20	29	2	5	47.69
	21-25	14,886	1,744	2,679	65.07
	26-30	14,093	2,241	2,537	88.34
	31-35	8,795	1,380	1,583	87.19
	36-40	7,452	1,189	1,341	88.64
	41-45	7,180	1,003	1,302	77.08
	46-50	5,841	896	1,114	80.49
	51-55	3,915	695	822	84.49
	56-60	2,478	436	591	73.80
	61-65	1,181	302	321	94.15
	66-70	239	82	67	n/a
	71-75	72	30	4	n/a
	TOTAL	66,160	10,001	12,365	80.88

General State
1st Year Withdrawal
Old Assumptions

		Exposure	Actual	Expected	Act/Exp
MALE	16-20	582	243	178	136.64
	21-25	4,423	1,162	1,156	100.52
	26-30	6,261	1,386	1,498	92.51
	31-35	5,191	993	1,126	88.16
	36-40	4,596	783	900	87.02
	41-45	3,692	733	640	114.44
	46-50	3,681	650	569	114.30
	51-55	3,271	428	489	87.41
	56-60	3,019	491	497	98.80
	61-65	1,417	329	290	113.21
	66-70	439	153	115	n/a
	71-75	168	42	8	n/a
	TOTAL	36,741	7,392	7,467	99.00
FEMALE	16-20	220	96	67	142.29
	21-25	5,367	1,396	1,394	100.12
	26-30	8,490	1,825	2,032	89.79
	31-35	7,089	1,329	1,538	86.43
	36-40	5,989	1,042	1,166	89.36
	41-45	5,656	977	980	99.72
	46-50	4,709	891	729	122.24
	51-55	4,064	584	608	96.07
	56-60	2,810	459	464	98.88
	61-65	1,149	263	238	110.53
	66-70	216	63	57	n/a
	71-75	43	15	5	n/a_
	TOTAL	45,801	8,938	9,277	96.35
TOTAL	16-20	801	338	245	138.19
	21-25	9,790	2,558	2,551	100.30
	26-30	14,751	3,210	3,530	90.94
	31-35	12,281	2,322	2,664	87.16
	36-40	10,584	1,824	2,065	88.34
	41-45	9,348	1,710	1,620	105.54
	46-50	8,390	1,541	1,297	118.76
	51-55	7,334	1,011	1,097	92.20
	56-60	5,830	950	961	98.84
	61-65	2,566	591	528	112.00
	66-70	655	216	172	n/a
	71-75	211	58_	13	n/a
	TOTAL	82,542	16,331	16,743	97.54

Political Subdivisions 1st Year Withdrawal Old Assumptions

		Exposure	Actual	Expected	Act/Exp
MALE	16-20	1,250	296	331	89.58
	21-25	8,111	1,588	1,941	81.81
	26-30	7,657	1,429	1,710	83.58
	31-35	5,138	953	1,088	87.59
	36-40	4,611	706	914	77.20
	41-45	4,295	715	785	91.10
	46-50	4,251	587	729	80.47
	51-55	3,545	467	606	77.13
	56-60	3,063	384	578	66.43
	61-65	1,690	217	392	55.33
	66-70	758	136	199	n/a
	71-75	267	54_	22	n/a_
	TOTAL	44,636	7,532	9,295	81.03
<u>FEMALE</u>	16-20	363	114	96	118.94
	21-25	4,698	1,210	1,115	108.53
	26-30	6,244	1,272	1,392	91.39
	31-35	5,384	966	1,138	84.87
	36-40	6,184	1,140	1,226	93.02
	41-45	5,537	958	1,012	94.68
	46-50	5 <i>,</i> 597	976	960	101.70
	51-55	4,979	763	850	89.77
	56-60	3,730	497	701	71.00
	61-65	1,809	300	420	71.38
	66-70	510	124	134	n/a
	71-75	131	32	14	n/a_
	TOTAL	45,165	8,354	9,058	92.23
TOTAL	16-20	1,614	411	427	96.20
	21-25	12,808	2,798	3,056	91.56
	26-30	13,901	2,701	3,102	87.09
	31-35	10,522	1,919	2,226	86.20
	36-40	10,795	1,846	2,140	86.26
	41-45	9,832	1,673	1,797	93.12
	46-50	9,848	1,563	1,689	92.54
	51-55	8,524	1,230	1,456	84.51
	56-60	6,793	882	1,279	68.93
	61-65	3,498	517	812	63.62
	66-70	1,269	260	334	n/a
	71-75	398_	86_	36	n/a_
	TOTAL	89,802	15,886	18,353	86.55

Teachers 2nd Year Withdrawal Old Assumptions

		Exposure	Actual	Expected	Act/Exp
MALE	16-20	0	0	0	n/a
	21-25	5,974	840	807	104.13
	26-30	10,819	1,241	1,461	84.94
	31-35	6,111	813	825	98.55
	36-40	5,135	744	693	107.26
	41-45	4,963	574	670	85.61
	46-50	4,413	414	607	68.22
	51-55	3,004	366	469	78.03
	56-60	1,534	165	298	55.43
	61-65	1,070	332	250	132.63
	66-70	296	62	70	n/a
	71-75	118	65	14	n/a
	TOTAL	43,439	5,613	6,162	91.10
<u>FEMALE</u>	16-20	46	5	6	75.84
	21-25	31,839	3,460	4,298	80.49
	26-30	38,540	4,989	5,203	95.88
	31-35	20,147	2,438	2,720	89.64
	36-40	17,917	1,863	2,419	77.03
	41-45	15,537	1,904	2,097	90.75
	46-50	13,373	1,276	1,830	69.71
	51-55	8,316	899	1,294	69.51
	56-60	6,199	613	1,196	51.28
	61-65	2,353	367	550	66.81
	66-70	326	32	77	n/a
	71-75	67	16	6	279.84
	TOTAL	154,659	17,862	21,696	82.33
TOTAL	16-20	46	5	6	75.84
	21-25	37,813	4,299	5,105	84.22
	26-30	49,359	6,229	6,663	93.48
	31-35	26,258	3,251	3,545	91.71
	36-40	23,052	2,607	3,112	83.76
	41-45	20,500	2,477	2,767	89.51
	46-50	17,786	1,689	2,437	69.33
	51-55	11,320	1,265	1,763	71.78
	56-60	7,734	778	1,494	52.10
	61-65	3,423	699	800	87.38
	66-70	622	94	146	n/a
	71-75	185	81	20	n/a
	TOTAL	198,098	23,475	27,858	84.27

General State
2nd Year Withdrawal
Old Assumptions

		Exposure	Actual	Expected	Act/Exp
MALE	16-20	206	62	51	122.33
	21-25	5,689	1,269	1,257	100.96
	26-30	11,822	1,847	2,326	79.40
	31-35	11,298	1,639	1,931	84.90
	36-40	9,702	1,449	1,428	101.47
	41-45	8,214	948	1,042	90.96
	46-50	7 <i>,</i> 554	987	859	114.93
	51-55	7,018	735	789	93.16
	56-60	7,631	800	985	81.22
	61-65	4,000	439	689	63.71
	66-70	990	115	220	n/a
	71-75	278	24	14	n/a_
	TOTAL	74,400	10,316	11,591	89.00
FEMALE	16-20	56	18	14	129.10
	21-25	6,566	1,258	1,438	87.49
	26-30	16,300	2,729	3,208	85.07
	31-35	14,629	2,106	2,501	84.19
	36-40	12,742	1,498	1,875	79.89
	41-45	12,625	1,668	1,605	103.96
	46-50	10,599	1,177	1,209	97.38
	51-55	9,613	1,044	1,081	96.53
	56-60	7,184	838	924	90.73
	61-65	2,694	257	458	56.17
	66-70	639	24	142	n/a
	71-75	182	44	31	n/a_
	TOTAL	93,827	12,661	14,485	87.41
TOTAL	16-20	261	80	64	123.77
	21-25	12,255	2,527	2,695	93.77
	26-30	28,123	4,575	5,533	82.68
	31-35	25,927	3,745	4,432	84.50
	36-40	22,444	2,947	3,303	89.22
	41-45	20,839	2,616	2,647	98.84
	46-50	18,152	2,165	2,068	104.67
	51-55	16,631	1,779	1,870	95.11
	56-60	14,814	1,639	1,909	85.83
	61-65	6,694	696	1,148	60.70
	66-70	1,629	140	362	n/a
	71-75	459	69	45	n/a
	TOTAL	168,228	22,977	26,076	88.12

Political Subdivisions 2nd Year Withdrawal Old Assumptions

		Exposure	Actual	Expected	Act/Exp
MALE	16-20	517	77	113	68.21
	21-25	11,010	1,603	2,178	73.63
	26-30	14,141	2,233	2,571	86.83
	31-35	9,906	1,325	1,722	76.94
	36-40	8,440	1,098	1,380	79.55
	41-45	8,624	957	1,277	74.90
	46-50	8,038	955	1,079	88.44
	51-55	7,564	636	974	65.34
	56-60	6,670	492	929	52.95
	61-65	3,710	395	631	62.67
	66-70	1,353	159	288	n/a
	71-75	426	86	37	n/a
	TOTAL	80,398	10,016	13,179	76.00
FEMALE	16-20	116	21	25	83.91
	21-25	4,750	803	931	86.28
	26-30	9,977	1,540	1,812	84.98
	31-35	9,813	1,433	1,702	84.23
	36-40	11,473	1,498	1,873	80.00
	41-45	11,910	1,563	1,758	88.90
	46-50	11,554	1,197	1,551	77.18
	51-55	10,829	1,289	1,395	92.45
	56-60	7,983	859	1,111	77.37
	61-65	3,977	587	668	87.82
	66-70	923	164	196	n/a
	71-75	246	42	19	n/a
	TOTAL	83,550	10,997	13,040	84.33
TOTAL	16-20	633	98	138	71.09
	21-25	15,759	2,407	3,109	77.42
	26-30	24,118	3,772	4,383	86.06
	31-35	19,719	2,758	3,423	80.56
	36-40	19,913	2,596	3,253	79.81
	41-45	20,535	2,520	3,035	83.01
	46-50	19,592	2,152	2,631	81.80
	51-55	18,393	1,926	2,369	81.30
	56-60	14,653	1,351	2,040	66.25
	61-65	7,687	982	1,299	75.61
	66-70	2,275	323	484	n/a
	71-75	672	128	56	n/a
	TOTAL	163,949	21,013	26,220	80.14

Teachers Ultimate Withdrawal Old Assumptions

		Exposure	Actual	Expected	Act/Exp
MALE	16-20	10	0	1	0.00
	21-25	6,542	1,013	560	180.70
	26-30	140,181	10,448	9,415	110.97
	31-35	399,224	16,975	17,525	96.86
	36-40	793,088	24,224	19,795	122.38
	41-45	1,278,010	21,348	20,163	105.88
	46-50	1,622,191	22,072	27,433	80.46
	51-55	1,060,187	23,118	27,442	84.24
	56-60	281,645	11,699	10,469	111.75
	61-65	644	53	32	167.39
	66-70	197	38	0	n/a
	71-75	82	58	0	n/a
	TOTAL	5,582,003	131,044	132,835	98.65
<u>FEMALE</u>	16-20	8	0	1	0.00
	21-25	39,519	4,520	3,977	113.65
	26-30	610,660	48,542	51,171	94.86
	31-35	1,525,995	64,249	85,874	74.82
	36-40	2,959,120	73,030	89,716	81.40
	41-45	4,591,112	73,885	65,114	113.47
	46-50	5,893,031	83,115	75,085	110.69
	51-55	3,565,753	86,215	97,346	88.57
	56-60	1,153,119	46,324	54,647	84.77
	61-65	1,318	248	55	452.60
	66-70	491	185	0	n/a
	71-75	21	0	0	n/a
	TOTAL	20,340,147	480,313	522,986	91.84
TOTAL	16-20	18	0	2	0.00
	21-25	46,061	5,533	4,538	121.93
	26-30	750,841	58,990	60,586	97.36
	31-35	1,925,220	81,224	103,399	78.55
	36-40	3,752,208	97,254	109,511	88.81
	41-45	5,869,123	95,233	85,277	111.68
	46-50	7,515,222	105,187	102,518	102.60
	51-55	4,625,940	109,333	124,788	87.61
	56-60	1,434,765	58,023	65,116	89.11
	61-65	1,962	300	86	348.26
	66-70	688	223	0	n/a
	71-75	102	58_	0	n/a_
	TOTAL	25,922,150	611,358	655,821	93.22

General State
Ultimate Withdrawal
Old Assumptions

		Exposure	Actual	Expected	Act/Exp
MALE	16-20	7	0	1	0.00
	21-25	10,912	1,569	1,679	93.49
	26-30	111,062	9,807	12,166	80.62
	31-35	287,303	17,594	19,023	92.49
	36-40	586,733	24,637	19,836	124.20
	41-45	888,407	26,224	17,447	150.30
	46-50	1,335,996	33,425	26,645	125.45
	51-55	1,149,191	33,469	27,093	123.53
	56-60	412,618	14,408	14,262	101.03
	61-65	10,763	208	435	47.77
	66-70	1,603	94	0	n/a
	71-75	177	25	0	n/a
	TOTAL	4,794,771	161,461	138,586	116.51
FEMALE	16-20	10	10	2	545.88
	21-25	7,659	1,131	1,166	96.94
	26-30	127,785	12,271	14,806	82.88
	31-35	372,605	26,522	28,203	94.04
	36-40	786,288	34,293	34,209	100.25
	41-45	1,227,217	39,230	31,682	123.82
	46-50	1,580,908	46,401	34,964	132.71
	51-55	1,620,936	58,018	47,536	122.05
	56-60	651,399	29,544	25,136	117.53
	61-65	5,893	449	302	148.73
	66-70	590	100	0	n/a
	71-75	43	0	0	n/a
	TOTAL	6,381,333	247,968	218,007	113.74
TOTAL	16-20	16	10	3	328.65
	21-25	18,571	2,700	2,845	94.91
	26-30	238,847	22,079	26,972	81.86
	31-35	659,908	44,116	47,226	93.42
	36-40	1,373,021	58,931	54,046	109.04
	41-45	2,115,624	65,454	49,130	133.23
	46-50	2,916,904	79,826	61,609	129.57
	51-55	2,770,127	91,486	74,628	122.59
	56-60	1,064,018	43,952	39,398	111.56
	61-65	16,656	656	737	89.13
	66-70	2,192	194	0	n/a
	71-75	220	25	0	n/a_
	TOTAL	11,176,104	409,429	356,593	114.82

Political Subdivisions Ultimate Withdrawal Old Assumptions

		Exposure	Actual	Expected	Act/Exp
MALE	16-20	32	7	4	158.60
	21-25	30,195	2,746	3,328	82.50
	26-30	182,662	11,908	14,929	79.77
	31-35	428,419	20,419	25,215	80.98
	36-40	730,798	25,844	29,112	88.77
	41-45	1,146,951	25,518	33,924	75.22
	46-50	1,572,347	37,563	42,807	87.75
	51-55	1,323,566	38,326	42,059	91.12
	56-60	450,952	15,424	18,197	84.76
	61-65	2,772	270	106	254.14
	66-70	1,088	100	0	n/a
	71-75	317	64	0	n/a_
	TOTAL	5,870,099	178,190	209,682	84.98
<u>FEMALE</u>	16-20	15	6	3	210.49
	21-25	8,003	1,023	1,257	81.40
	26-30	64,510	7,256	7,917	91.65
	31-35	174,663	13,110	15,284	85.78
	36-40	409,748	21,288	25,053	84.97
	41-45	735 <i>,</i> 593	30,843	33,298	92.63
	46-50	1,207,320	42,127	46,660	90.29
	51-55	1,466,529	52,417	58,878	89.03
	56-60	658,208	22,559	31,080	72.58
	61-65	2,772	256	126	204.07
	66-70	727	120	0	n/a
	71-75	155	25	0	n/a
	TOTAL	4,728,243	191,032	219,555	87.01
TOTAL	16-20	46	13	7	179.08
	21-25	38,198	3,769	4,585	82.20
	26-30	247,172	19,164	22,846	83.88
	31-35	603,083	33,530	40,499	82.79
	36-40	1,140,546	47,132	54,165	87.02
	41-45	1,882,544	56,361	67,222	83.84
	46-50	2,779,667	79,691	89,467	89.07
	51-55	2,790,095	90,742	100,936	89.90
	56-60	1,109,160	37,983	49,277	77.08
	61-65	5,544	526	232	227.02
	66-70	1,815	220	0	n/a
	71-75	472	90	0	n/a
	TOTAL	10,598,342	369,222	429,237	86.02

Recommendation for Turnover

The economy since 2008 (covering the last three study periods) has experienced a difficult and unusual period of economic recession followed by a strong recovery which likely influenced participant behavior. In particular, the "ultimate withdrawal" experience was generally lower than expected in the 2012 study (which is normal for an economic recession), then higher than expected in the 2016 study (which is normal for an economic recovery), and now has returned to a level that is between those two lower and higher periods.

Withdrawal rates can often cycle through periods of higher and lower turnover that revert in the next cycle. In such cases, the long-term assumption should not be based solely on either cycle. Based on the current experience and the experience from the last two studies (covering the experience since 2008), the following adjustments are recommended:

	Recommended
Teachers	Adjust 1 st year withdrawal rates by 90%
State Employees	Adjust ultimate withdrawal rates by 110%
Political Subdivisions	Adjust 1 st year withdrawal rates by 96% Adjust 2 nd year withdrawal rates by 90%

Spread of Retirement Ages

Spreads of actual retirement ages have been obtained separately for males and females within each category. Comparisons were also made between the age at which each individual became eligible for full retirement benefits and the age at which he actually retired.

These rates reflect the way in which they are applied. Retirement rates apply to ages that are calculated as the "age nearest birthday" on a valuation date (June 30), and anticipate all retirements before the next June 30. Thus, anyone who attains age 64 during 2015 (i.e., was born in 1951) will be treated as being age 64 in the 2015 valuation. Any member in this group who retires before June 30, 2016 will be thought of, for valuation purposes, as retiring at age 64, even though (for example, a teacher who retires at the end of the 2019-2020 school year) he may already have attained age 65 when he retires. The effect of this approach is to divide between age 64 and age 65 retirements which actually occur shortly after the participants' 65th birthdays, rather than assigning them all to age 65.

As a result of the 2000 study, retirement arrays for each major group were modified to reflect liability weighted patterns. Prior to age 60, retirement rates upon first attaining the service retirement age were increased by 10% for all groups. On and after age 60, retirement rates for participants who have completed at least fifteen years of service were increased by 10%, 5% and 5% for Teachers, State and Political Subdivision employees.

For the 2000 to 2004 study period, the actual to expected ratios for service retirements declined considerably for each major group (all groups had ratios at or near 80%). These results suggested a significant decline in the number of retirements from the results of the 2000 study. Since the observed shift in retirement experience was significant, it was difficult to predict whether the data represented a permanent shift in retirement patterns or only a temporary change due to other factors. The 2004 study recommended that only a portion of the decline in service retirements be reflected in the modified retirement arrays. Retirement patterns from the 1996 to 2000 study period were blended with the results from the 2000 to 2004 study period to produce modified retirement arrays. The expectation was to monitor results over the next study period and adjust again in 2008 if the shift in service retirement was observed again. The 2004 study also modified the special adjustments for retirement rates (prior to age 60 and on or after age 60 with fifteen years of service).

The 2008 analysis continued to suggest that adding an incremental percentage to retirement rates upon attaining the service retirement age prior to age 60 is appropriate. It also supported applying a greater probability of retirement on and after age 60 if the period of employment has been substantial. The extent of the additional retirement probability following attainment of age 60 varies among groups as well as by age and was updated accordingly.

For the 2004 to 2008 study period, the trend for employees to delay retirement continued for all groups. Retirement arrays for each group were modified to reflect the continued change in the pattern of retirement first noticed in the 2004 study. Retirement experience observed during the 2008 study for State employees was adjusted when creating the new assumptions due to an incentive program that was to be effective after the end of the study period. The incentive program was believed to have caused some State employees to delay retirement to take advantage of the incentive program.

The economy since 2008 has experienced a difficult and unusual period of economic recession followed by a recovery which likely influenced participant behavior. As such, the retirement experience during this period may not be credible for long-term future predictions. No change was made to the retirement assumption in the 2012 study.

Experience observed during the 2016-2020 period is reported on the following pages.

Teachers Service Retirement Old Assumptions

		Exposure	Actual	Expected	Act/Exp
MALE	16-20	0	0	0	n/a
	21-25	0	0	0	n/a
	26-30	0	0	0	n/a
	31-35	0	0	0	n/a
	36-40	0	0	0	n/a
	41-45	0	0	0	n/a
	46-50	171	0	11	0.00
	51-55	208,452	24,564	31,323	78.42
	56-60	728,145	85,690	126,269	67.86
	61-65	899,634	188,737	249,872	75.53
	66-70	228,008	65,638	52,914	124.05
	71-75	49,210	13,531	13,831	97.83
	TOTAL	2,113,620	378,160	474,220	79.74
<u>FEMALE</u>	16-20	0	0	0	n/a
	21-25	0	0	0	n/a
	26-30	0	0	0	n/a
	31-35	0	0	0	n/a
	36-40	0	0	0	n/a
	41-45	0	0	0	n/a
	46-50	1,065	170	202	84.10
	51-55	919,210	120,862	137,862	87.67
	56-60	2,547,766	364,477	481,564	75.69
	61-65	3,109,764	719,905	982,449	73.28
	66-70	810,080	218,485	263,656	82.87
	71-75	121,037	24,241	60,709	39.93
	TOTAL	7,508,922	1,448,140	1,926,442	75.17
TOTAL	16-20	0	0	0	n/a
	21-25	0	0	0	n/a
	26-30	0	0	0	n/a
	31-35	0	0	0	n/a
	36-40	0	0	0	n/a
	41-45	0	0	0	n/a
	46-50	1,236	170	213	79.89
	51-55	1,127,662	145,426	169,185	85.96
	56-60	3,275,911	450,167	607,833	74.06
	61-65	4,009,399	908,641	1,232,320	73.73
	66-70	1,038,088	284,123	316,570	89.75
	71-75	170,247	37,772	74,540	50.67
	TOTAL	9,622,542	1,826,301	2,400,662	76.07

General State Service Retirement Old Assumptions

		Exposure	Actual	Expected	Act/Exp
MALE	16-20	0	0	0	n/a
	21-25	0	0	0	n/a
	26-30	0	0	0	n/a
	31-35	0	0	0	n/a
	36-40	0	0	0	n/a
	41-45	0	0	0	n/a
	46-50	29,883	3,445	2,399	143.61
	51-55	472,901	47,511	34,356	138.29
	56-60	1,066,018	138,045	95,205	145.00
	61-65	1,586,588	246,447	263,868	93.40
	66-70	795,210	144,673	136,825	105.74
	71-75	236,527	38,398	63,535	60.44
	TOTAL	4,187,127	618,518	596,187	103.75
FEMALE	16-20	0	0	0	n/a
	21-25	0	0	0	n/a
	26-30	0	0	0	n/a
	31-35	0	0	0	n/a
	36-40	0	0	0	n/a
	41-45	0	0	0	n/a
	46-50	35,929	6,033	3,650	165.29
	51-55	601,352	68,622	55 <i>,</i> 859	122.85
	56-60	1,577,912	165,970	150,199	110.50
	61-65	2,238,107	368,635	380,623	96.85
	66-70	792,874	180,816	148,775	121.54
	71-75	183,242	40,778	48,079	84.81
	TOTAL	5,429,416	830,854	787,185	105.55
TOTAL	16-20	0	0	0	n/a
	21-25	0	0	0	n/a
	26-30	0	0	0	n/a
	31-35	0	0	0	n/a
	36-40	0	0	0	n/a
	41-45	0	0	0	n/a
	46-50	65,812	9,478	6,049	156.69
	51-55	1,074,254	116,133	90,214	128.73
	56-60	2,643,929	304,015	245,404	123.88
	61-65	3,824,695	615,082	644,491	95.44
	66-70	1,588,084	325,489	285,600	113.97
	71-75	419,770	79,176	111,615	70.94
	TOTAL	9,616,544	1,449,373	1,383,372	104.77

Political Subdivisions Service Retirement Old Assumptions

		Exposure	Actual	Expected	Act/Exp
<u>MALE</u>	16-20	0	0	0	n/a
	21-25	0	0	0	n/a
	26-30	0	0	0	n/a
	31-35	0	0	0	n/a
	36-40	0	0	0	n/a
	41-45	0	0	0	n/a
	46-50	46,739	5 <i>,</i> 557	4,436	125.26
	51-55	399,946	47,541	45,681	104.07
	56-60	863,403	90,303	96,314	93.76
	61-65	1,268,536	206,873	253,954	81.46
	66-70	393,074	88,715	73,715	120.35
	71-75	148,671	21,533	47,113	45.70
	TOTAL	3,120,369	460,522	521,213	88.36
<u>FEMALE</u>	16-20	0	0	0	n/a
	21-25	0	0	0	n/a
	26-30	0	0	0	n/a
	31-35	0	0	0	n/a
	36-40	0	0	0	n/a
	41-45	0	0	0	n/a
	46-50	18,128	438	1,488	29.44
	51-55	177,770	13,505	17,886	75.51
	56-60	707,494	58,657	85,459	68.64
	61-65	1,544,255	237,297	281,807	84.21
	66-70	536,466	108,181	111,108	97.37
	71-75	184,106	31,077	59,286	52.42
	TOTAL	3,168,219	449,155	557,033	80.63
TOTAL	16-20	0	0	0	n/a
	21-25	0	0	0	n/a
	26-30	0	0	0	n/a
	31-35	0	0	0	n/a
	36-40	0	0	0	n/a
	41-45	0	0	0	n/a
	46-50	64,866	5,995	5,925	101.19
	51-55	577,716	61,046	63,567	96.03
	56-60	1,570,897	148,959	181,772	81.95
	61-65	2,812,792	444,170	535,761	82.90
	66-70	929,540	196,896	184,823	106.53
	71-75	332,777	52,610	106,399	49.45
	TOTAL	6,288,588	909,677	1,078,246	84.37

Recommendation for Retirement Ages

The economy since 2008 (covering the last three study periods) has experienced a difficult and unusual period of economic recession followed by a strong recovery which likely influenced participant behavior. In particular, the retirement experience was generally lower than expected in the 2012 study (which is normal for an economic recession), then higher than expected in the 2016 study (which is normal for an economic recovery), and now has reverted to a lower level of retirements than the 2016 study (and lower than the assumed experience for the Teachers and Political Subdivisions).

Retirement rates can often cycle through periods of higher and lower experience that revert in the next cycle. In such cases, the long-term assumption should not be based solely on either cycle. Based on the current experience and the experience from the last two studies (covering the experience since 2008), the following adjustments are recommended:

	Recommended
Teachers	 Adjust additional increment for retirees who have 15+ years of service on or after age 60 from 8.0% to 7.0%
State Employees	 Adjust additional increment for 1st year of eligibility prior to age 60 from 7.5% to 9.0% Adjust additional increment for retirees who have 15+ years of service on or after age 60 from 2.0% to 3.0%
Political Subdivisions	 Adjust additional increment for 1st year of eligibility prior to age 60 from 7.5% to 6.5% Adjust additional increment for retirees who have 15+ years of service on or after age 60 from 2.0% to 3.0%

Summary of Demographic Assumptions

Recommendations of demographic assumptions utilized for each major group have been made herein. These changes relate to experience identified (when deemed to be credible) from the four year period ending June 30, 2020. It is recommended that the revised array of assumptions be adopted for the major groups of employees.

Economic Assumptions

Various economic assumptions such as interest rates, probable future salary increases, and increases in the Social Security taxable wage base are all linked to general economic conditions (especially the rate of inflation), and therefore are interrelated. Economic assumptions are not so directly the province of the actuary as are the assumptions previously discussed. Nevertheless, assumptions concerning the future pattern of these items are more important in determining plan costs than any of the decrements previously discussed, and usually are included under the title "Actuarial Assumptions." Also, past performance can serve as a clue to future performance, even if only as a starting point for adjustments reflecting changed situations.

Economic assumptions are often determined based upon a component approach. Under this approach, the individual elements of each assumption are identified and combined to produce a total or composite amount. Each of these components contains inflation as a common item.

Inflation

Inflation is a common element in each of the economic assumptions made for the plan. Inflation is also a separate assumption that affects costs by determining cost of living adjustments that affect geometrically increased plan benefits following retirement.

There has been substantial fluctuation in historical rates of inflation. The table below presents rates of inflation that have occurred over various periods ending in 2020.

Dariad

	<u> Perioa</u>	
<u>Period</u>	<u>Length</u>	<u>Inflation</u>
2020-2020	1	1.4%
2006-2020	15	1.9%
1996-2020	25	2.1%
1991-2020	30	2.3%
1971-2020	50	3.8%
1961-2020	60	3.7%
1946-2020	75	3.6%
1941-2020	80	3.7%

Indicators of future inflation expectations include the opinion of the Board of Trustees of the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance and Disability Insurance Trust Funds, whose 2021 Annual Report discusses underlying actuarial assumption data. The report provides sets of low, intermediate and high cost actuarial assumptions. The ultimate annual inflation assumption documented in the report is assumed to be 1.8%, 2.4% and 3.0% for the low, intermediate and high cost assumptions, respectively.

Recommendation for Inflation

Based upon the above historical information and expectation of future occurrences, the expected annual rate of inflation has been established at an intermediate annual rate of 2.25%. This expected annual rate of future inflation is a decrease from the current assumption being used by TCRS of 2.50%.

Cost of Living Adjustment for TCRS Retirees

TCRS provides an annual cost of living adjustment to retirees effective July 1 of each year based on the inflation measured in the previous calendar year. The method for determining the cost of living adjustment does not allow the adjustment to exceed 3.0% in any given year. The table below is the same as the one shown in the inflation section above that presents rates of inflation that have occurred over various periods ending in 2020, but this table contains an extra column to show the impact of applying the 3.0% limit over each period.

	Period		3.0% Limit
<u>Period</u>	<u>Length</u>	<u>Inflation</u>	Per Year
2020-2020	1	1.4%	1.4%
2006-2020	15	1.9%	1.8%
1996-2020	25	2.1%	2.0%
1991-2020	30	2.3%	2.2%
1971-2020	50	3.8%	2.5%
1961-2020	60	3.7%	2.4%
1946-2020	75	3.6%	2.3%
1941-2020	80	3.7%	2.3%

The expected annual rate of inflation was established in the previous section at 2.25%. This assumption does not imply that inflation will be exactly 2.25% in each future year, but rather that inflation will average 2.25% in the future (some years greater and some years less). During periods when actual inflation is high, the TCRS cost of living adjustment will be limited to 3.0%. Therefore, the range of future TCRS cost of living adjustments will be between the actual rate of inflation during low inflationary periods and 3.0%.

Recommendation for Cost of Living Adjustment

Based upon the above historical information and the assumption that the long-term average expectation of future inflation has been established at 2.25%, the expected rate of the cost of living adjustment to TCRS retirees has been established at an annual rate of 2.125%. This expected annual rate of the cost of living adjustment is a decrease from the current assumption being used by TCRS of 2.25%.

Interest Rates

The long-term rate of return on investments is the most important single factor in determining the cost of a pension plan with a given set of benefits and participants. The investment experience of the TCRS trust fund has been studied on a "total return" basis by the TCRS investment staff. The following table shows the historical investment return for each individual year and the 30-year average annualized rates of return through the given year:

		30-Year Average Annualized
	Rate of Return	Rate of Return
Fiscal Year	During Year	Through Given Year
2020-2021	25.6%	8.07%
2019-2020	4.9	7.52
2018-2019	7.5	7.74
2017-2018	8.2	7.99
2016-2017	11.4	7.78
2015-2016	2.8	7.74
2014-2015	3.3	8.53
2013-2014	16.7	9.32
2012-2013	9.9	8.64
2011-2012	5.6	9.40

From the table above, it may be seen that the 30-year average annual total rate of return through 2021 is 8.07%, but within that period there has been substantial fluctuation. Adjusting the results to reflect the assumed inflation rate of 2.25%, lowers 30-year average annual total rate of return through 2021 to 7.99%.

In accordance with investment policies established by the Board, TCRS investments emphasize bonds and other fixed income securities, but also include a substantial percentage of equity investments. On a "total return" basis, both kinds of investments are subject to wide fluctuations dependent upon market and economic conditions. The results shown in the table illustrate such fluctuations.

In order to arrive at contribution rates that are not unduly affected by these fluctuations, TCRS valuations assign a value to assets which is based on a "10-year moving average of market values". Over a short period, this approach may differ substantially from the year-by-year results shown above, but over longer periods the results should be similar and should reasonably replicate market value results. The smoothing process attempts to avoid the wide fluctuations shown in the table, tending also to smooth contribution rates.

Any analysis of expected returns should include both long-term historical returns and current expectations of the future investment climate. Generally, current expectations are useful for predicting short-term returns, while historical experience can be a better indicator of longer-term expected returns. However, it should be noted that the economic environment may have changed to be significantly different than the past historical values.

An expected long-term rate of return for the plan has been developed using a blend of future expectations of returns and long-term historical performance. The following chart reflects the investment policy adopted by the Board. The policy permits investments from various asset classes within a minimum and maximum allocation percentage, and also defines a target portfolio to determine the basis for measuring investment performance of the fund. Since the investment manager will make decisions to periodically over or under weight a particular asset class, the basis used for estimating future returns is the target portfolio instead of the plan's actual asset allocation at any given point in time.

TCRS Investment Policy

Asset Class	Minimum	Maximum	Target
Domestic Stocks	25%	50%	31%
Domestic Bonds	0%	60%	20%
Inflation Indexed Bonds	0%	15%	0%
Short-term Securities	0%	10%	1%
International Bonds	0%	10%	0%
International Stocks	5%	25%	14%
Emerging Markets Stocks*	0%	10%	4%
Private Equity & Strategic Lending	0%	40%	20%
Real Estate	0%	20%	10%

^{*}Emerging Market Stocks are a subset of International Stocks; Maximum International Stocks and Emerging Market Stocks may not exceed 25%.

Future Expectations of Returns

For each asset class, we have used the long-term average expected real return from the 2021 Horizon Survey of Capital Market Assumptions. This survey includes assumptions from 24 different investment firms for a 20-year horizon. The expected real return for each asset class is multiplied by the target allocation to determine the weighted-average real return for the entire portfolio as shown below (asset classes with a target allocation of 0% are excluded):

	Expected	Target	Weighted Average	
Asset Class	Real Return	Allocation	Real Return	
Domestic Stocks*	4.45%	31%	1.38%	
Domestic Bonds	1.00%	20%	0.20%	
Short-term Securities	0.00%	1%	0.00%	
International Stocks	4.91%	14%	0.69%	
Emerging Markets Stocks	5.58%	4%	0.22%	
Private Equity	7.42%	10%	0.74%	
Strategic Lending	4.64%	10%	0.46%	
RealEstate	3.98%	10%	0.40%	
	Expected Real Retu	Expected Real Return for Portfolio:		
	Recommended Inflation	on Assumption:	2.25%	
	Expected Total Retu	Expected Total Return for Portfolio:		

^{*}Domestic Stocks are a blend of Large Cap (4.42%) and Small/Mid Cap (4.81%) based on the underlying TCRS weightings between those two subsets of Domestic Stocks.

All returns above are reported without regard to expenses. An explicit expense assumption is incorporated in the actuarial valuation report and contribution rate recommendations to reflect actual expenses.

While there is no single "correct" assumption for the rate of investment return, the range of 6.34% (based on current market forecasts) to 7.99% (based on TCRS historical returns, adjusted to reflect the assumed inflation rate of 2.25%) gives a reasonable range of outcomes that might be expected based on the plan's current funding policy. Based on this analysis, a rate of 6.75% would appear to be a reasonable assumption, which represents a 75% weighting on current market forecasts and a 25% weighting on TCRS historical returns.

Recommendation for Interest Rate

Based upon the above information, rate of investment return has been established at 6.75%, which is a decrease from the current assumption being used by TCRS of 7.25%. The rate is based upon an inflation rate of 2.25%, which is consistent with the underlying inflation rate used in the establishment of other economic assumptions.

Salary Scale

In recent years, there has been a tendency away from uniform salary scales that do not vary by age to age graded scales. Age graded scales typically begin with higher increase rates for the younger ages where salary increases are highest and decline to lower levels for older participants where increases often approximate the cost of living. While direct comparisons may be accurately made among plans that use a uniform scale, comparisons between age graded scales are not as easily made. A 5% uniform scale will produce higher contribution rates than an age graded scale that begins at 7% and declines to 3%, for which the *average* is 5%, because the higher rates of the graded scale affect only the relatively smaller number of participants who are below the age midpoint.

After the 2000 experience study, salary assumptions were adopted to include an age-related feature for the first time. The new salary assumptions were further validated with the 2004 study where no changes were recommended. The greater accuracy achieved with age related tables offsets the small advantage of greater understandability afforded by uniform tables.

Under the current tables, salary increases decline from 8.7% at age 20 to 3.5% at age 60. Rates are assumed to decline very modestly thereafter to 3.4% ultimately. The average increase from age 20 to 60 is 5.3%. Although the average seems high, the table in aggregate is actually less conservative than the average because the higher rates applicable to younger employees affect a relatively small group of employees for whom the expectation of reaching retirement and receiving benefit values are low. The graded salary scale replicates the effect of a uniform salary scale that increases annually at the rate of approximately 4.0%.

The current experience period shows average salary increases to be higher than expected based on the current assumptions. Average salaries for all groups averaged around 2.6% during the period 2008 to 2012, around 3.5% during the period 2012 to 2016, and around 4.8% during the period 2016 to 2020. Based on the experience over the last three study periods (which included both a recession and a recovery), the salary increases have fluctuated above and below the current assumption.

The tables show that salary increases continue to vary significantly by age among all major groups. This result further supports the continued use of an age graded salary scale. There is also variability by year of examination. Further, the average percentage increase was 5.97% for the State group over the period 2016 to 2020 (which is believed to be a direct result of some short-term compensation policies and adjustments).

Recommendation for Salary Scale

The average salary growth for 2016 to 2020 was above the current assumption of approximately 4.0%, while the average experience in the two previous studies was below 4.0%. Further, TCRS indicated that continued market pressure is expected to limit compensation increases in the future. The current 4.0% assumption is comprised of a 2.5% general inflation assumption, plus a 0.5% national productivity (real wage) assumption, plus a 1.0% merit increase assumption. To better reflect future expectations, it is recommended that the merit increase assumption be increased 25 basis points. Since the general inflation assumption has been reduced by 25 basis points (from 2.5% to 2.25%), the net effect is no change in the total salary growth assumption.

Teachers
Salary History - Individual Records
Weighted By Salary

			Percentage Increase in Average Salary					
		2016 No.	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	Average	Exp. Inc.
TOTAL	16-20	1	16.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.29	9.21
	21-25	407	7.23	7.74	3.77	6.08	6.14	7.98
	26-30	6,181	5.23	7.30	3.03	5.81	5.35	6.79
	31-35	8,189	5.14	7.31	2.60	6.02	5.27	6.03
		0.500						
	36-40	9,609	4.88	7.15	2.28	5.31	4.90	5.36
	41-45	10,357	4.24	6.57	1.97	4.72	4.37	4.71
	46-50	10,212	3.83	6.01	1.57	4.08	3.85	4.16
	51-55	7,168	3.36	5.77	1.16	3.82	3.49	3.81
	56-60	5,735	3.12	5.34	1.01	3.59	3.27	3.58
	61-65	2,773	2.79	5.12	0.91	3.34	3.04	3.46
	66-70	669	2.85	4.62	1.57	2.98	2.99	3.44
	71-75	111	2.21	3.55	1.22	2.82	2.46	3.43
	TOTAL	61,412	4.14	6.37	1.84	4.57	4.22	

General Employees
Salary History - Individual Records
Weighted By Salary

			Percentage Increase in Average Salary					
		2016 No.	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	Average	Exp. Inc.
TOTAL	16-20	1	16.81	0.00	0.00	23.28	19.70	9.21
	21-25	311	11.28	11.09	8.33	12.47	10.81	7.98
	26-30	2,389	10.30	9.62	7.59	9.35	9.17	6.79
	31-35	3,442	9.24	8.15	6.92	8.37	8.13	6.03
	36-40	4,435	8.11	7.22	6.11	7.44	7.19	5.36
	41-45	5,096	7.23	6.62	5.67	6.48	6.47	4.71
	46-50	5,901	6.40	5.86	4.93	6.09	5.81	4.16
	51-55	6,705	6.20	5.51	4.69	5.66	5.51	3.81
	56-60	6,609	5.61	5.10	4.39	5.27	5.09	3.58
	61-65	4,447	4.96	4.65	3.97	4.70	4.57	3.46
	66-70	1,583	4.22	3.68	3.28	4.02	3.81	3.44
	71-75	467	3.92	3.94	2.99	3.37	3.51	3.43
	TOTAL	41,386	6.61	6.04	5.13	6.17	5.97	

Political Subdivisions
Salary History - Individual Records
Weighted By Salary

			Percentage Increase in Average Salary					
		2016 No.	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	Average	Exp. Inc.
TOTAL	16-20	1	44.99	-4.59	6.82	9.93	11.70	9.21
	21-25	713	8.16	6.88	8.21	7.78	7.76	7.98
	26-30	2,699	7.07	5.37	6.67	6.36	6.36	6.79
	31-35	3,927	6.11	5.10	5.77	5.62	5.65	6.03
	36-40	5,311	5.67	4.76	5.28	5.16	5.21	5.36
	41-45	6,834	5.34	4.38	4.76	4.81	4.82	4.71
	46-50	8,883	4.96	4.04	4.49	4.58	4.52	4.16
	51-55	9,483	4.49	3.93	4.40	4.16	4.24	3.81
	56-60	9,062	4.42	3.76	3.92	3.78	3.96	3.58
	61-65	5,814	3.90	3.44	3.84	3.33	3.61	3.46
	66-70	2,141	3.89	3.46	3.80	3.24	3.56	3.44
	71-75	872	3.73	3.46	3.72	2.69	3.35	3.43
	TOTAL	55,740	4.96	4.18	4.64	4.46	4.55	

Social Security Increases

Although the TCRS is not heavily integrated with Social Security, it is affected by changes in the Social Security taxable wage base because such changes affect the "Social Security Integration Level" of the TCRS. In general, the State's cost is lowered somewhat by assuming that the taxable wage base will increase. Since such increases are primarily due to inflation, an assumption concerning the wage base should parallel the interest assumption. An appropriate wage base escalation rate that relates consistently to the other economic assumptions can be determined by combining the expected real wage increase rate of 0.5% with anticipated inflation of 2.25% to produce a rate of 2.75%. This rate is a decrease from the current assumption being used by TCRS of 3.0%.

Summary of Economic Assumptions

The group of economic assumptions recommended above is based upon an integrated set of assumptions of which inflation is common to all. The approach taken in establishing assumptions results in coordination among the assumption elements.

Other Groups

The thrust of this study has been directed toward three major groups — Teachers, general State employees, and Political Subdivision employees. These three groups include the vast bulk of TCRS participants. Two other small groups ("UT-TIAA with guarantee" and "Local Teachers") are basically teacher groups, so it is recommended that the assumptions adopted for Teachers also be applied to them. The "Aged Teacher and State" retirees also are primarily former teachers, so they also should be covered by the assumptions used for Teachers.

"Group II" is a closed group that is not large enough to have credible experience with respect to mortality or disability. It is proposed that this group adopt the same mortality and disability assumptions as the Consolidated State group. Turnover varies from expected rates, but experience and recent economic experience limits credibility. It is recommended that existing tables continue to be used for turnover.

"Group III" is a closed group that is also not large enough to generate credible experience. It is recommended that the mortality and disability rates adopted for Teachers be used also for "Group III." It is proposed that the retirement array adopted after the 2008 study be maintained without further changes for this group. The current schedule assumes rates of retirement for those who have met the service retirement eligibility requirements of 8% after age 50 increasing to 20% after age 65.